<u>REMARKS</u>

Claim 1 is currently pending in the application. In an Office Action mailed

December 18, 2001, Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112. Claim 1 was also rejected

under 35 U.S.C. § 102. Claim 1 has been amended above to clarify the invention and new

Claims 2-58 have been added.

Before addressing the merits of the foregoing Office Action, applicants respectfully note

an error in the submittal of substitute drawings on May 8, 2001. In that regard, it is noted that

Figure 14 was inadvertently omitted from the submittal of substitute drawings. Applicants

respectfully request entry of substitute Figure 14 into the present application. Please note that

Figure 14 is fully supported by the application as originally filed both in the written description

and the informal drawing submitted with the original filing and, therefore, no new matter is

added by the present request.

In view of the above claim amendment and the remarks that follow, applicants

respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of all claims now pending in the application.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as

the invention. In particular, the Office Action sets forth the position that it is unclear whether or

not it is applicants' intent to positively recite the vehicle. As a result, the Office Action required

clarification as to whether or not elements within the preamble are positively recited or

functionally recited.

In response, applicants respectfully note that certain elements, such as "vehicle" and

"wheelchair lift," are environmental only and are not intended to be positively recited within the

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS\*\*\*\* 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800

Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100 claim. Accordingly, the Examiner's assumption that the claim is directed to only the

subcombination of a door reciprocating assembly is correct.

In view of the foregoing comments, applicants respectfully submit that the rejections

under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, have been overcome.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent

No. 4,640,050, issued to Yamagishi et al. Applicants respectfully disagree. Before addressing

the distinctions between the claims and the cited references of record, applicants briefly

summarize certain embodiments of the present invention.

The present embodiment of amended Claim 1 is generally directed towards a

reciprocating assembly for automatically reciprocating a sliding door between an open position

and a closed position. The reciprocating assembly includes a latch assembly operable to latch

and unlatch a door. The reciprocating assembly also includes a plug assembly operable to

actuate the door between a plugged position and an unplugged position by rotating the door

about a pivot axis. The reciprocating assembly further includes a drive assembly operable to

reciprocate the door between the open position and the closed position. The reciprocating

assembly also includes a sensor means for sensing whether the door is in the plugged or

unplugged positions. None of the cited references of record teach or suggest such an assembly.

Yamagishi et al. is directed toward an automatic sliding door system for vehicle.

Generally described, Yamagishi et al. addresses the need for providing an automatic sliding door

system that may be operated from the driver's seat and outside the vehicle. In particular, "[t]he

door must be slidingly shifted outwardly perpendicular to the body 1 from the closing position X

as shown by dotted lines in FIG. 1 when it is desired to open, and then the sliding door can be

moved in parallel to the rearwards to the opening position Y." Column 3, lines 49-54.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS\*\*\* 1420 Fifth Avenue (emphasis added.) Thus, Yamagishi et al. expressly teach transitioning the door from a plugged to an unplugged position by displacing the door perpendicularly outward from the body of the vehicle.

Applicants respectfully note that Yamagishi et al. fail to teach or suggest an automatic door reciprocating system that includes a plug assembly operable to actuate a door between a plugged and an unplugged position by rotating the sliding door about a pivot axis. Yamagishi et al. also fail to teach or suggest sensor means for sensing whether the sliding door is in the plugged or unplugged position, as now generally set forth in amended Claim 1. Instead, Yamagishi et al. merely disclose a door that is shifted perpendicularly from the vehicle body. Note further that there is no teaching or suggestion within Yamagishi et al. of sensor means for sensing whether the door is in a plugged or unplugged position, as now set forth in amended Claim 1. Accordingly, applicants respectfully submit that amended Claim 1 is neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by Yamagishi et al.

## New Claims

Applicants respectfully request entry and consideration of new Claims 2-58.

///

///

/// ///

///

///

/// ///

## **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, applicants submit that the claims of the present application are allowable over the cited and applied references. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney with questions regarding this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC

John D. Denkenberger Registration No. 44,060

Direct Dial No. 206.695.1749

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 2327, Arlington, VA 22202, on the below date.

JDD/BDK:lls/skg

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE JUNE 10, 2002

In the Specification:

The paragraph beginning at page 7, line 25, has been amended as follows:

Operation of the door drive assembly 20 may be best understood by referring to

FIGURES 8-10. To clarify operation of the current embodiment of the present invention, a well

known platform lift 152, such as that disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,158,419, issued to Kempf

et al., the disclosure of which is hereby expressly incorporated by reference, is illustrated. The

[ramp] platform lift 152 may be reciprocated between stowed and deployed positions, such that it

may be selectively positioned adjacent the access door 22 to load and unload passengers from the

lift 152.

In the Claims:

1. (Amended) An automatic door reciprocating system for use with a wheelchair lift

designed to be stowed in a lower compartment of a vehicle, the vehicle having a floor and a

sliding door, the sliding door being slidable between open and closed positions, the wheelchair

lift having a platform which is movable between an upper position, where the platform is

substantially coplanar with the floor, and a lower position, the automatic door reciprocating

system comprising:

a reciprocating assembly for automatically reciprocating the sliding door between the

open and closed positions[.], the reciprocating assembly comprising:

(a) a latch assembly operable to latch and unlatch a sliding door;

(b) a plug assembly operable to actuate the sliding door between a plugged

position and an unplugged position by rotating the sliding door about a pivot axis;

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS\*\*\*\* 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800

Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100

- (c) a drive assembly operable to reciprocate the sliding door between the open position and the closed position; and
- (d) a sensor means for sensing whether the sliding door is in the plugged or unplugged positions.

New Claims 2-58 have been added.